

CLASSIFYING BICROSSED PRODUCTS OF TWO SWEEDLER'S HOPF ALGEBRAS

COSTEL GABRIEL BONTEA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we will continue the study started recently in [1] by describing and classifying all Hopf algebras E that factorize through two Sweedler's Hopf algebras. Equivalently, we shall classify all bicrossed products $H_4 \bowtie H_4$ associated to all possible matched pairs $(H_4, H_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ of Hopf algebras. There are three steps in our approach: in the first one we describe explicitly all the matched pairs $(H_4, H_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ by proving that, except for the trivial one, there exists an infinite number of such matched pairs parameterized by a scalar λ of the base field k . Then, for any $\lambda \in k$, we shall construct by generators and relations a 16-dimensional, pointed, unimodular and non-semisimple quantum group $\mathcal{H}_{16, \lambda}$: a Hopf algebra E factorizes through H_4 and H_4 if and only if $E \cong H_4 \otimes H_4$ or $E \cong \mathcal{H}_{16, \lambda}$. In the last step we classify such quantum groups by proving that there are only three isomorphism classes: $H_4 \otimes H_4$, $\mathcal{H}_{16, 0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{16, 1} \cong D(H_4)$, the Drinfel'd double of H_4 . As a bonus to our approach the group of Hopf algebra automorphisms of these Hopf algebras are described: in particular, we prove that $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(D(H_4))$ is isomorphic to a semidirect product of groups $k^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.

INTRODUCTION

Let A and H be two given Hopf algebras. The factorization problem for Hopf algebras consists of classifying up to an isomorphism all Hopf algebras that factorize through A and H , i.e. all Hopf algebras E containing A and H as Hopf subalgebras such that the multiplication map $A \otimes H \rightarrow E$, $a \otimes h \mapsto ah$ is bijective. The problem can be put in more general terms but we restrict ourselves to the case of Hopf algebras. For a detailed account on the subject at the level of groups the reader may consult [1] and [2].

An important step in dealing with the factorization problem was made by Majid in [8, Proposition 3.12] who generalized to Hopf algebras the construction of the bicrossed product for groups introduced by Takeuchi in [12]. Although in [8] the construction is known under the name of double cross product, we will follow [6] and call it, just like in the case of groups, the bicrossed product construction. A bicrossed product of two Hopf algebras A and H is a new Hopf algebra $A \bowtie H$ associated to a matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ of Hopf algebras. It is proven in [8] that a Hopf algebra E factorizes through A and H if and only if E is isomorphic to some bicrossed product of A and H . Thus, the factorization problem can be stated in a computational manner: for two Hopf

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T10, 16T05, 16S40.

Key words and phrases. bicrossed product of Hopf algebras, Drinfel'd double.

This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, grant no. 88/05.10.2011.

algebras A and H describe the set of all matched pairs $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ and classify up to an isomorphism all bicrossed products $A \bowtie H$ of Hopf algebras. This way of approaching the problem was recently proposed in [1] with promising results regarding new examples of quantum groups. For example, in [1, Section 4] all bicrossed products $H_4 \bowtie k[C_n]$ are described by generators and relations and are classified. They are quantum groups at roots of unity $H_{4n, \omega}$ which are classified by the arithmetic of the ring $\mathbb{Z}_n$. In this paper we shall continue the study began in [1] by classifying all Hopf algebras that factorize through two Sweedler's Hopf algebras.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we set the notations and recall the bicrossed product construction of two Hopf algebras. In Section 2, the main section of this paper, we classify all Hopf algebras that factorize through two Sweedler's Hopf algebras. In order to do this, we first compute all the matched pairs $(H_4, H_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$: except the trivial one, there exists an infinite number of such matched pairs parameterized by a scalar λ of the base field k . Then we will describe by generators and relations all the bicrossed products $H_4 \bowtie H_4$ associated to these matched pairs. These are: $H_4 \otimes H_4$ and $\mathcal{H}_{16, \lambda}$, where for any $\lambda \in k$, $\mathcal{H}_{16, \lambda}$ is the 16-dimensional quantum group generated by g, x, G, X subject to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} g^2 = G^2 = 1 \quad x^2 = X^2 = 0, \quad gx = -xg, \quad GX = -XG, \\ gG = Gg, \quad gX = -Xg, \quad xG = -Gx, \quad xX + Xx = \lambda(1 - Gg) \end{aligned}$$

with the coalgebra structure given such that g and G are group-likes, x is $(1, g)$ -primitive and X is $(1, G)$ -primitive. Finally, as the last step of our approach, using the description of the homomorphisms between two arbitrary bicrossed products given in [1], we prove that there are only three isomorphism classes of Hopf algebras that factorize through two Sweedler's Hopf algebras: $H_4 \otimes H_4$, $\mathcal{H}_{16, 0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{16, 1} \cong D(H_4)$, the Drinfel'd double of H_4 .

A different type of classification was given in [5, Lema 2.8], using the classical theory of Hopf algebras extensions and having the cocycle bicrossproduct as a tool: any extension of H_4 by H_4 is equivalent to the trivial extension $H_4 \otimes H_4$; in particular, any cocycle bicrossproduct $H_4 \#_{\sigma} H_4$ is isomorphic to the trivial tensor product $H_4 \otimes H_4$. This shows that, for two given Hopf algebras, one can obtain a larger class of new types of Hopf algebras by considering the factorization problem and the bicrossed product instead of the extension problem and the cocycle bicrossedproduct. In our case, the two new types of Hopf algebras constructed in this paper, $\mathcal{H}_{16, 0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{16, 1}$, are not extensions of H_4 by H_4 in the sense of [3, Definition 1.2.0].

Finally, as a consequence of our results, the group of Hopf algebra automorphisms of these quantum groups are described by proving that there exists the following isomorphisms of groups:

$$\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(D(H_4)) \cong k^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(\mathcal{H}_{16, 0}) \cong (k^* \times k^*) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H_4 \otimes H_4)$$

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper all algebras, coalgebras, Hopf algebras are over a commutative field k and $\otimes = \otimes_k$. We shall use the standard notations from Hopf algebras theory: in

particular, for a coalgebra C , we use the Σ -notation: $\Delta(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$, for any $c \in C$ (summation understood). Let A and H be two Hopf algebras. A is called a left H -module coalgebra if there exists $\triangleright : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ a morphism of coalgebras such that $(A, \triangleright)$ is also a left H -module. Similarly, H is called a right A -module coalgebra if there exists $\triangleleft : H \otimes A \rightarrow H$ a morphism of coalgebras such that $(H, \triangleleft)$ is a right A -module. The actions $\triangleright : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\triangleleft : H \otimes A \rightarrow H$ are called *trivial* if $h \triangleright a = \varepsilon_H(h)a$ and $h \triangleleft a = \varepsilon_A(a)h$ respectively, for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$.

A *matched pair* of Hopf algebras [8], [6] is a quadruple $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$, where A and H are Hopf algebras, $\triangleright : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\triangleleft : H \otimes A \rightarrow H$ are coalgebra maps such that $(A, \triangleright)$ is a left H -module coalgebra, $(H, \triangleleft)$ is a right A -module coalgebra and the following compatibility conditions hold:

$$h \triangleright 1_A = \varepsilon_H(h)1_A \quad 1_H \triangleleft a = \varepsilon_A(a)1_H, \quad (1)$$

$$g \triangleright (ab) = (g_{(1)} \triangleright a_{(1)})((g_{(2)} \triangleleft a_{(2)}) \triangleright b) \quad (2)$$

$$(gh) \triangleleft a = (g \triangleleft (h_{(1)} \triangleright a_{(1)}))(h_{(2)} \triangleleft a_{(2)}) \quad (3)$$

$$g_{(1)} \triangleleft a_{(1)} \otimes g_{(2)} \triangleright a_{(2)} = g_{(2)} \triangleleft a_{(2)} \otimes g_{(1)} \triangleright a_{(1)} \quad (4)$$

for all $a, b \in A$, $g, h \in H$. If $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair of Hopf algebras then the associated *bicrossed product* $A \bowtie H$ of A with H is the vector space $A \otimes H$ endowed with the coalgebra structure of the tensor product of coalgebras and the multiplication

$$(a \bowtie g) \cdot (b \bowtie h) := a(g_{(1)} \triangleright b_{(1)}) \bowtie (g_{(2)} \triangleleft b_{(2)})h \quad (5)$$

for all $a, b \in A$, $g, h \in H$, where we used $\bowtie$ for $\otimes$. $A \bowtie H$ is a Hopf algebra with the antipode given by the formula:

$$S(a \bowtie h) := (1_A \bowtie S_H(h)) \cdot (S_A(a) \bowtie 1_H) \quad (6)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$ [9, Theorem 7.2.2], [6, Theorem IX 2.3].

The basic example of a bicrossed product is the famous Drinfel'd double of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H : $D(H) = (H^*)^{\text{cop}} \bowtie H$, the bicrossed product associated to a given canonical matched pair [6, Theorem IX.3.5]. For others examples of bicrossed products we refer to [1], [6], [9].

We recall that a Hopf algebra E *factorizes* through two Hopf algebras A and H if there exist injective Hopf algebra maps $i : A \rightarrow E$ and $j : H \rightarrow E$ such that the map

$$A \otimes H \rightarrow E, \quad a \otimes h \mapsto i(a)j(h)$$

is bijective. The next fundamental result is due to Majid [9, Theorem 7.2.3]: A Hopf algebra E factorizes through two given Hopf algebras A and H if and only if there exists a matched pair of Hopf algebras $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ such that $E \cong A \bowtie H$. In light of this result, the factorization problem for Hopf algebras was restated [1] in a computational manner: Let A and H be two given Hopf algebras. Describe the set of all matched pairs $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ and classify up to an isomorphism all bicrossed products $A \bowtie H$.

In order to classify the bicrossed products $A \bowtie H$ the following result, proved in [1, Theorem 2.2], is crucial. It describes the morphisms between two bicrossed products. It will also be used in determining the automorphisms group of a given bicrossed product. The result says that, given two matched pairs of Hopf algebras $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ and

$(A', H', \triangleright', \triangleleft')$, there exists a bijective correspondence between the set of all morphisms of Hopf algebras $\psi : A \bowtie H \rightarrow A' \bowtie' H'$ and the set of all quadruples (u, p, r, v) , where $u : A \rightarrow A'$, $p : A \rightarrow H'$, $r : H \rightarrow A'$, $v : H \rightarrow H'$ are unitary coalgebra maps satisfying the following compatibility conditions:

$$u(a_{(1)}) \otimes p(a_{(2)}) = u(a_{(2)}) \otimes p(a_{(1)}) \quad (7)$$

$$r(h_{(1)}) \otimes v(h_{(2)}) = r(h_{(2)}) \otimes v(h_{(1)}) \quad (8)$$

$$u(ab) = u(a_{(1)}) (p(a_{(2)}) \triangleright' u(b)) \quad (9)$$

$$p(ab) = (p(a) \triangleleft' u(b_{(1)})) p(b_{(2)}) \quad (10)$$

$$r(hg) = r(h_{(1)}) (v(h_{(2)}) \triangleright' r(g)) \quad (11)$$

$$v(hg) = (v(h) \triangleleft' r(g_{(1)})) v(g_{(2)}) \quad (12)$$

$$r(h_{(1)}) (v(h_{(2)}) \triangleright' u(b)) = u(h_{(1)} \triangleright b_{(1)}) (p(h_{(2)} \triangleright b_{(2)}) \triangleright' r(h_{(3)} \triangleleft b_{(3)})) \quad (13)$$

$$(v(h) \triangleleft' u(b_{(1)})) p(b_{(2)}) = (p(h_{(1)} \triangleright b_{(1)}) \triangleleft' r(h_{(2)} \triangleleft b_{(2)})) v(h_{(3)} \triangleleft b_{(3)}) \quad (14)$$

for all $a, b \in A$, $g, h \in H$. Under the above correspondence, the morphism of Hopf algebras $\psi : A \bowtie H \rightarrow A' \bowtie' H'$ corresponding to (u, p, r, v) is given by:

$$\psi(a \bowtie h) = u(a_{(1)}) (p(a_{(2)}) \triangleright' r(h_{(1)})) \triangleleft' (p(a_{(3)}) \triangleleft' r(h_{(2)})) v(h_{(3)}) \quad (15)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$.

2. THE BICROSSED PRODUCTS OF TWO SWEEDLER'S HOPF ALGEBRAS

In this section we are going to classify all the bicrossed products $H_4 \bowtie H_4$. Our strategy is the one of [1]: first of all we will find all the matched pairs $(H_4, H_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$, then we will describe by generators and relations all the bicrossed products associated to these matched pairs and finally, using [1, Theorem 2.2], we will decide which of these bicrossed products are isomorphic. As an offshoot of our work, the group $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H_4 \bowtie H_4)$ of all Hopf algebra automorphisms of a given bicrossed product is computed.

Recall that Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra, H_4 , is generated by two elements, g and x , subject to the relations:

$$g^2 = 1, \quad x^2 = 0, \quad xg = -gx$$

The coalgebra structure and the antipode are given by:

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x, \quad \Delta(gx) = gx \otimes g + 1 \otimes gx$$

$$\varepsilon(g) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(x) = 0, \quad S(g) = g, \quad S(x) = -gx$$

In order to avoid confusions we will denote by $\mathbb{H}_4$ a copy of H_4 , and by G and X the generators of $\mathbb{H}_4$. Thus, $G^2 = 1$, $X^2 = 0$, $GX = -XG$, G is a group-like element and X is an $(1, G)$ -primitive element.

Recall that, for a Hopf algebra H , the set of group-like elements of H is denoted by $G(H)$, and, for $g, h \in G(H)$, the set of (g, h) -primitive elements of H is denoted by $P_{g,h}(H)$.

In order to determine the matched pairs between two Hopf algebras the following result proved [1] is very useful.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ be a matched pair of Hopf algebras, $a, b \in G(A)$ and $g, h \in G(H)$. Then:*

- (1) $g \triangleright a \in G(A)$ and $g \triangleleft a \in G(H)$;
- (2) If $x \in P_{a,b}(A)$, then $g \triangleleft x \in P_{g \triangleleft a, g \triangleleft b}(H)$ and $g \triangleright x \in P_{g \triangleright a, g \triangleright b}(A)$;
- (3) If $y \in P_{g,h}(H)$, then $y \triangleleft a \in P_{g \triangleleft a, h \triangleleft a}(H)$ and $y \triangleright a \in P_{g \triangleright a, h \triangleright a}(A)$.

In particular, if x is an $(1_A, b)$ -primitive element of A , then $g \triangleright x$ is an $(1_A, g \triangleright b)$ -primitive element of A and $g \triangleleft x$ is an $(g, g \triangleleft b)$ -primitive element of H .

We shall use two more elementary lemmas from [1].

Lemma 2.2. $G(H_4) = \{1, g\}$, $P_{1,1}(H_4) = \{0\}$, $P_{g,g}(H_4) = \{0\}$

$$P_{1,g}(H_4) = \{\alpha - \alpha g + \beta x \mid \alpha, \beta \in k\}, \quad P_{g,1}(H_4) = \{\alpha - \alpha g + \beta gx \mid \alpha, \beta \in k\}$$

Lemma 2.3. (1) $u : H_4 \rightarrow H_4$ is a unitary coalgebra map if and only if u is the trivial morphism $u(h) = \varepsilon(h)1$, for all $h \in H_4$, or there exists $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in k$ such that $u(1) = 1$, $u(g) = g$, $u(x) = \alpha - \alpha g + \beta x$, and $u(gx) = \gamma - \gamma g + \delta gx$.

(2) $u : H_4 \rightarrow H_4$ is a Hopf algebra morphism if and only if u is the trivial morphism $u(h) = \varepsilon(h)1$, for all $h \in H_4$, or there exists $\beta \in k$ such that $u(1) = 1$, $u(g) = g$, $u(x) = \beta x$, and $u(gx) = \beta gx$. In particular, $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H_4) \cong k^*$.

The next theorem describes the set of all matched pairs $(A = \mathbb{H}_4, H = H_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then $(\mathbb{H}_4, H_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair of Hopf algebras if and only if $(\triangleright, \triangleleft)$ are both the trivial actions or the pair $(\triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is given by:*

$\triangleright$	1	G	X	GX	$\triangleleft$	1	G	X	GX
1	1	G	X	GX	1	1	1	0	0
g	1	G	-X	-GX	g	g	g	0	0
x	0	0	$\lambda - \lambda G$	$\lambda - \lambda G$	x	x	-x	$\lambda - \lambda g$	$-\lambda + \lambda g$
gx	0	0	$\lambda - \lambda G$	$\lambda - \lambda G$	gx	gx	-gx	$-\lambda + \lambda g$	$\lambda - \lambda g$

for some $\lambda \in k$.

We prove this result in three steps. The first one is Proposition 2.5 where we describe the set of all right $\mathbb{H}_4$ -module coalgebra structures $\triangleleft$ on H_4 satisfying the normalizing condition $1 \triangleleft h = \varepsilon(h)1$, for all $h \in \mathbb{H}_4$. There will be four such families of actions, $\triangleleft^j$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, parameterized by scalars $a, b, c, d \in k$.

The second step is Proposition 2.6 where we describe the set of all left H_4 -module coalgebra structures $\triangleright$ on $\mathbb{H}_4$ satisfying the normalizing condition $h \triangleright 1 = \varepsilon(h)1$, for all $h \in H_4$. There will also be four families of such actions, $\triangleright^i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ parameterized by scalars $s, t, u, v \in k$.

The final step consists of a detailed analysis of the sixteen possibilities of choice for the pair of actions $(\triangleright^i, \triangleleft^j)$, for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. This will show that the only ones that

verify the axioms of a matched pair (2)-(4) are: $(\triangleright^1, \triangleleft^1)$, i.e. the pair of trivial actions, and $(\triangleright^4, \triangleleft^4)$, in which case the actions take the form described in the statement.

We begin with:

Proposition 2.5. *If $\triangleleft : H_4 \otimes \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow H_4$ is a right $\mathbb{H}_4$ -module coalgebra structure such that $1 \triangleleft h = \varepsilon(h)1$, for all $h \in \mathbb{H}_4$, then $\triangleleft$ has one of the following forms:*

$\triangleleft^1$	1	G	X	GX	$\triangleleft^2$	1	G	X	GX
1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
g	g	g	0	0	g	g	g	0	0
x	x	x	0	0	x	x	x	0	0
gx	gx	gx	0	0	gx	gx	c - cg - gx	d - dg	-d + dg

$\triangleleft^3$	1	G	X	GX	$\triangleleft^4$	1	G	X	GX
1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
g	g	g	0	0	g	g	g	0	0
x	x	a - ag - x	b - bg	-b + bg	x	x	a - ag - x	b - bg	-b + bg
gx	gx	gx	0	0	gx	gx	c - cg - gx	d - dg	-d + dg

where $a, b, c, d \in k$.

Proof. Let $\triangleleft : H_4 \otimes \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow H_4$ be a right $\mathbb{H}_4$ -module coalgebra structure such that $1 \triangleleft h = \varepsilon(h)1$, for all $h \in \mathbb{H}_4$. Thus, we have $1 \triangleleft G = 1$, $1 \triangleleft X = 0$, and $1 \triangleleft (GX) = 0$. We determine next the actions of G , X , and GX on g , x , and gx . First we show that

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \triangleleft & 1 & G & X & GX \\ \hline g & g & g & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

Using Lemma 2.1 we have $g \triangleleft G \in \{1, g\}$. We cannot have $g \triangleleft G = 1$, for otherwise we obtain a contradiction:

$$g = g \triangleleft 1 = g \triangleleft (G^2) = (g \triangleleft G) \triangleleft G = 1 \triangleleft G = 1$$

Therefore $g \triangleleft G = g$. Next, using the fact that $X \in P_{1,G}(\mathbb{H}_4)$ and $g \triangleleft G = g$, we deduce from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 that $g \triangleleft X = 0$. Similarly, $g \triangleleft (GX) = 0$. Observe also that the actions of X and GX on g are compatible with the relations $X^2 = 0$ and $GX = -XG$.

Next, we show that

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \triangleleft & 1 & G & X & GX \\ \hline x & x & x & 0 & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} \triangleleft & 1 & G & X & GX \\ \hline x & x & a - ag - x & b - bg & -b + bg \end{array}$$

for some $a, b \in k$.

Since x is an $(1, g)$ -primitive element of H_4 , $x \triangleleft G \in P_{1 \triangleleft G, g \triangleleft G}(H_4) = P_{1,g}(H_4)$. Taking into account Lemma 2.2, we have $x \triangleleft G = a - ag - bx$, for some $a, b \in k$. The action of G is compatible with $G^2 = 1$ so

$$x = x \triangleleft 1 = (x \triangleleft G) \triangleleft G = (a - ag + bx) \triangleleft G = a + ba - (a + ba)g + b^2x$$

Thus, $b^2 = 1$ and $a(1+b) = 0$. If $b = -1$ then there are no restrictions on a . Otherwise, $b = 1$ and $a = 0$. This proves that $x \triangleleft G = a - ag - x$, with $a \in k$, or $x \triangleleft G = x$.

By a straightforward computation and the results above, $x \triangleleft X \in P_{1,g}(H_4)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, $x \triangleleft X = b - bg + cx$, for some $b, c \in k$. Because $X^2 = 0$, we have

$$0 = x \triangleleft 0 = (x \triangleleft X) \triangleleft X = (b - bg + cx) \triangleleft X = cb - cbg + c^2x$$

Thus, $c = 0$, and $x \triangleleft X = b - bg$, with $b \in k$.

If $x \triangleleft G = x$, then

$$x \triangleleft (GX) = (x \triangleleft G) \triangleleft X = x \triangleleft X$$

and, if $x \triangleleft G = a - ag - x$, then

$$x \triangleleft (GX) = (x \triangleleft G) \triangleleft X = (a - ag - x) \triangleleft X = -x \triangleleft X$$

Observe that, in both cases, $\varepsilon(x \triangleleft (GX)) = 0$, and

$$\Delta(x \triangleleft (GX)) = x_{(1)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(2)}$$

It remains to see when $x \triangleleft (GX) = x \triangleleft (-XG)$. If $x \triangleleft G = x$, then

$$x \triangleleft (XG) = (x \triangleleft X) \triangleleft G = (b - bg) \triangleleft G = b - bg = x \triangleleft X = x \triangleleft (GX)$$

Thus, $x \triangleleft (GX) = x \triangleleft (-XG)$ implies $x \triangleleft X = x \triangleleft (GX) = 0$. If $x \triangleleft G = a - ag - x$, then

$$x \triangleleft (XG) = (x \triangleleft X) \triangleleft G = (b - bg) \triangleleft G = b - bg = x \triangleleft X = -x \triangleleft (GX)$$

In this case, the equality $x \triangleleft (GX) = x \triangleleft (-XG)$ is satisfied without further restrictions.

Finally, we show that

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \triangleleft & 1 & G & X & GX \\ \hline gx & gx & gx & 0 & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} \triangleleft & 1 & G & X & GX \\ \hline gx & gx & c - cg - gx & d - dg & -d + dg \end{array}$$

for some $c, d \in k$.

Using Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $(gx) \triangleleft G \in P_{g,1}(H_4)$, as one can easily see, we deduce that $(gx) \triangleleft G = c - cg + d gx$. Also,

$$gx = (gx) \triangleleft 1 = ((gx) \triangleleft G) \triangleleft G = (c - cg + d gx) \triangleleft G = c + dc - (c + dc)g + d^2 gx$$

therefore, $d^2 = 1$ and $c(1+d) = 0$. If $d = -1$, then nothing can be said about c . Otherwise, $d = 1$ and $c = 0$. It follows that $(gx) \triangleleft G = gx$ or $(gx) \triangleleft G = c - cg - gx$, with $c \in k$.

Again, a straightforward computation shows that $(gx) \triangleleft X$ is $(g, 1)$ -primitive, hence, by Lemma 2.2, there exist $d, e \in k$ such that $(gx) \triangleleft X = d - dg + e gx$. Recalling that $X^2 = 0$, we have

$$0 = (gx) \triangleleft 0 = ((gx) \triangleleft X) \triangleleft X = (d - dg + e gx) \triangleleft X = ed - edg + e^2 gx$$

Thus, $e = 0$ and $(gx) \triangleleft X = d - dg$, with $d \in k$.

If $(gx) \triangleleft G = gx$ then

$$(gx) \triangleleft (GX) = ((gx) \triangleleft G) \triangleleft X = (gx) \triangleleft X$$

and, if $(gx) \triangleleft G = c - cg - gx$, then

$$(gx) \triangleleft (GX) = ((gx) \triangleleft G) \triangleleft X = (c - cg - gx) \triangleleft X = -(gx) \triangleleft X$$

In both cases, $\varepsilon((gx) \triangleleft (GX)) = 0$, and

$$\Delta((gx) \triangleleft (GX)) = (gx)_{(1)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(1)} \otimes (gx)_2 \triangleleft (GX)_2$$

Finally, we make use of the condition $(gx) \triangleleft (GX) = -(gx) \triangleleft (XG)$. If $(gx) \triangleleft G = gx$, then

$$(gx) \triangleleft (XG) = ((gx) \triangleleft X) \triangleleft G = (d - dg) \triangleleft G = d - dg = (gx) \triangleleft X = (gx) \triangleleft (GX)$$

Since $(gx) \triangleleft (GX) = (gx) \triangleleft (-XG)$, we have $(gx) \triangleleft X = (gx) \triangleleft (GX) = 0$. If $(gx) \triangleleft G = c - cg - gx$, then

$$(gx) \triangleleft (XG) = ((gx) \triangleleft X) \triangleleft G = (d - dg) \triangleleft G = d - dg = (gx) \triangleleft X = -(gx) \triangleleft (GX)$$

In this case, the condition $(gx) \triangleleft (GX) = (gx) \triangleleft (-XG)$ is satisfied without further restrictions. $\square$

Analogous to Proposition 2.5 we can prove:

Proposition 2.6. *If $\triangleright : H_4 \otimes \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$ is a left H_4 -module coalgebra structure such that $h \triangleright 1 = \varepsilon(h)1$, for all $h \in H_4$, then $\triangleright$ has one of the following forms:*

$\triangleright^1$	1	G	X	GX	$\triangleright^2$	1	G	X	GX
1	1	G	X	GX	1	1	G	X	GX
g	1	G	X	GX	g	1	G	X	$u - uG - GX$
x	0	0	0	0	x	0	0	0	$v - vG$
gx	0	0	0	0	gx	0	0	0	$v - vG$

$\triangleright^3$	1	G	X	GX	$\triangleright^4$	1	G	X	GX
1	1	G	X	GX	1	1	G	X	GX
g	1	G	$s - sG - X$	GX	g	1	g	$s - sG - X$	$u - uG - GX$
x	0	0	$t - tG$	0	x	0	0	$t - tG$	$v - vG$
gx	0	0	$t - tG$	0	gx	0	0	$t - tG$	$v - vG$

where $s, t, u, v \in k$.

Proof. One can check the validity of the statement by employing the same arguments as those used in the proof of Proposition 2.5. A more elegant and shorter proof can be deduced from the following elementary remark: if $\triangleright : H \otimes C \rightarrow C$ is a left H -module coalgebra on C then $\triangleleft : C \otimes H^{\text{cop}} \rightarrow C$, $c \triangleleft h := S(h) \triangleright c$, for all $c \in C$ and $h \in H$ is a right H^{cop} -module coalgebra on C , and the above correspondence is bijective if the antipode of H is bijective. We apply this observation for $H = H_4$ and $C = \mathbb{H}_4$, which is just a copy of H_4 , taking into account that the antipode of H_4 is bijective and $H_4^{\text{cop}} \cong H_4$. In this way, the proof of Proposition 2.6 follows from the one of Proposition 2.5. $\square$

We are now in a position to finish the proof of Theorem 2.4.

The proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $(\mathbb{H}_4, H_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ be a matched pair. Since $\triangleleft : H_4 \otimes \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow H_4$ is a right $\mathbb{H}_4$ -module coalgebra structure satisfying $1 \triangleleft h = \varepsilon(h)1$, for all $h \in \mathbb{H}_4$, we deduce from Proposition 2.5 that $\triangleleft$ is one of the $\triangleleft^i$'s. Similarly, $\triangleright : H_4 \otimes \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$ is a left H_4 -module coalgebra structure satisfying $h \triangleright 1 = \varepsilon(h)1$, for all $h \in H_4$, hence, $\triangleright$ is one of the $\triangleright^j$'s, by Proposition 2.6. We will prove that $(\mathbb{H}_4, H_4, \triangleright^j, \triangleleft^i)$ is a matched pair if and only if $(i, j) \in \{(1, 1), (4, 4)\}$ and, if $(i, j) = (4, 4)$, then $\triangleright^i$ and $\triangleleft^j$ are defined as we have claimed.

Firstly, $(\mathbb{H}_4, H_4, \triangleright^i, \triangleleft^j)$ is not a matched pair, if $i = 2, 3$ or $j = 2, 3$, since

$$\begin{aligned} g \triangleright^2 (GX) &\neq (g \triangleright^2 G) ((g \triangleleft^j G) \triangleright^2 X) \\ g \triangleright^3 (GX) &\neq (g \triangleright^3 G) ((g \triangleleft^j G) \triangleright^3 X) \\ (xg) \triangleleft^2 G &\neq (x \triangleleft^2 (g \triangleright^i G)) (g \triangleleft^2 G) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(xg) \triangleleft^3 G \neq (x \triangleleft^3 (g \triangleright^i G)) (g \triangleleft^3 G)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, i.e., condition (2) is not satisfied. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} g \triangleright^2 (GX) &= u - uG - GX \neq GX = G(g \triangleright^2 X) = (g \triangleright^2 G) ((g \triangleleft^j G) \triangleright^2 X) \\ g \triangleright^3 (GX) &= GX \neq -s + sG - GX = G(s - sG - X) = G(g \triangleright^3 X) = (g \triangleright^3 G) ((g \triangleleft^j G) \triangleright^3 X) \\ (xg) \triangleleft^2 G &= -c + cg + gx \neq -gx = xg = (x \triangleleft^2 G)g = (x \triangleleft^2 (g \triangleright^i G)) (g \triangleleft^2 G) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(xg) \triangleleft^3 G = -gx \neq -a + ag + gx = (a - ag - x)g = (x \triangleleft^3 G)g = (x \triangleleft^3 (g \triangleright^i G)) (g \triangleleft^3 G)$$

Secondly, $(\mathbb{H}_4, H_4, \triangleright^4, \triangleleft^1)$ and $(\mathbb{H}_4, H_4, \triangleright^1, \triangleleft^4)$ are not matched pairs, since condition (4) is not verified. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(1)} \triangleleft^1 (GX)_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \triangleright^4 (GX)_{(2)} &= x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \triangleright^4 (GX) \\ &= x \otimes 1 \triangleright^4 (GX) + g \otimes x \triangleright^4 (GX) \\ &= x \otimes GX + g \otimes (v - vG) \\ &= x \otimes GX + vg \otimes 1 - vg \otimes G \end{aligned}$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(2)} \triangleleft^1 (GX)_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \triangleright^4 (GX)_{(1)} &= x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \triangleright^4 (GX) \\ &= 1 \otimes x \triangleright^4 (GX) + x \otimes g \triangleright^4 (GX) \\ &= 1 \otimes (v - vG) + x \otimes (u - uG - GX) \\ &= v1 \otimes 1 - v1 \otimes G + ux \otimes 1 - ux \otimes G - x \otimes GX \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (gx)_{(1)} \triangleleft^4 X_{(1)} \otimes (gx)_{(2)} \triangleright^1 X_{(2)} &= (gx) \triangleleft^4 X_{(1)} \otimes X_{(2)} \\ &= (gx) \triangleleft^4 X \otimes 1 + (gx) \triangleleft^4 G \otimes X \\ &= (d - dg) \otimes 1 + (c - cg - gx) \otimes X \\ &= d1 \otimes 1 - dg \otimes 1 + c1 \otimes X - cg \otimes X - gx \otimes X \end{aligned}$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned}
(gx)_{(2)} \triangleleft^4 X_{(2)} \otimes (gx)_{(1)} \triangleright^1 X_{(1)} &= (gx) \triangleleft^4 X_{(2)} \otimes X_{(1)} \\
&= (gx) \triangleleft^4 1 \otimes X + (gx) \triangleleft^4 X \otimes G \\
&= gx \otimes X + (d - dg) \otimes G \\
&= gx \otimes X + d1 \otimes G - dg \otimes G
\end{aligned}$$

We focus now our attention on when $(\mathbb{H}_4, H_4, \triangleright^4, \triangleleft^4)$ is a matched pair. We abandon the cumbersome notations $\triangleright^4$ and $\triangleleft^4$, and use instead $\triangleright$ and $\triangleleft$. We begin by verifying condition (4) from the definition of a matched pair. Evidently, the condition is verified for $(h, a) \in \{(1, 1), (1, G), (g, 1), (g, G)\}$. It is also verified for $(h, a) \in \{(1, X), (x, 1), (1, GX), (gx, 1), (g, X), (x, G), (g, GX), (gx, G)\}$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
(gx)_{(1)} \triangleleft G_{(1)} \otimes (gx)_{(2)} \triangleright G_{(2)} &= (gx) \triangleleft G \otimes g \triangleright G + 1 \triangleleft G \otimes (gx) \triangleright G \\
&= (gx) \triangleleft G \otimes G
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(gx)_{(2)} \triangleleft G_{(2)} \otimes (gx)_{(1)} \triangleright G_{(1)} &= g \triangleleft G \otimes (gx) \triangleright G + (gx) \triangleleft G \otimes 1 \triangleright G \\
&= (gx) \triangleleft G \otimes G
\end{aligned}$$

hence, $(gx)_{(1)} \triangleleft G_{(1)} \otimes (gx)_{(2)} \triangleright G_{(2)} = (gx)_{(2)} \triangleleft G_{(2)} \otimes (gx)_{(1)} \triangleright G_{(1)}$.

For $(h, a) = (x, X)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{(1)} \triangleleft X_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \triangleright X_{(2)} &= x \triangleleft X \otimes 1 \triangleright 1 + x \triangleleft G \otimes 1 \triangleright X + g \triangleleft X \otimes x \triangleright 1 + \\
&\quad g \triangleleft G \otimes x \triangleright X \\
&= (b - bg) \otimes 1 + (a - ag - x) \otimes X + g \otimes (t - tG) \\
&= b1 \otimes 1 + (t - b)g \otimes 1 - tg \otimes G + a1 \otimes X - ag \otimes X - x \otimes X
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{(2)} \triangleleft X_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \triangleright X_{(1)} &= 1 \triangleleft 1 \otimes x \triangleright X + 1 \triangleleft X \otimes x \triangleright G + x \triangleleft 1 \otimes g \triangleright X + \\
&\quad x \triangleleft X \otimes g \triangleright G \\
&= 1 \otimes (t - tG) + x \otimes (s - sG - X) + (b - bg) \otimes G \\
&= t1 \otimes 1 + (b - t)1 \otimes G - bg \otimes G + sx \otimes 1 - sx \otimes G - x \otimes X
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x_{(1)} \triangleleft X_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \triangleright X_{(2)} = x_{(2)} \triangleleft X_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \triangleright X_{(1)}$, we must have $t = b$ and $a = s = 0$.

Let $(h, a) = (gx, GX)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(gx)_{(1)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(1)} \otimes (gx)_{(2)} \triangleright (GX)_{(2)} &= (gx) \triangleleft (GX) \otimes g \triangleright G + (gx) \triangleleft 1 \otimes g \triangleright (GX) + 1 \triangleleft (GX) \otimes (gx) \triangleright G + \\
&\quad 1 \triangleleft 1 \otimes (gx) \triangleright (GX) \\
&= (-d + dg) \otimes G + gx \otimes (u - uG - GX) + 1 \otimes (v - vG) \\
&= v1 \otimes 1 - (v + d)1 \otimes G + dg \otimes G + ugx \otimes 1 - ugx \otimes G - gx \otimes GX
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (gx)_{(2)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(2)} \otimes (gx)_{(1)} \triangleright (GX)_{(1)} \\
&= g \triangleleft G \otimes (gx) \triangleright (GX) + g \triangleleft (GX) \otimes (gx) \triangleright 1 + (gx) \triangleleft G \otimes 1 \triangleright (GX) + \\
&\quad (gx) \triangleleft (GX) \otimes 1 \triangleright 1 \\
&= g \otimes (v - vG) + (c - cg - gx) \otimes GX + (-d + dg) \otimes 1 \\
&= -d1 \otimes 1 + (v + d)g \otimes 1 - vg \otimes G + c1 \otimes GX - cg \otimes GX - gx \otimes GX.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(gx)_{(1)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(1)} \otimes (gx)_{(2)} \triangleright (GX)_{(2)} = (gx)_{(2)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(2)} \otimes (gx)_{(1)} \triangleright (GX)_{(1)}$$

we must have $v = -d$ and $c = u = 0$.

Now, if $(h, a) = (x, GX)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_{(1)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \triangleright (GX)_{(2)} \\
&= x \triangleleft (GX) \otimes 1 \triangleright G + x \triangleleft 1 \otimes 1 \triangleright (GX) + g \triangleleft (GX) \otimes x \triangleright G + \\
&\quad g \triangleleft 1 \otimes x \triangleright (GX) \\
&= (-b + bg) \otimes G + x \otimes GX + g \otimes (v - vG) \\
&= -b1 \otimes G + (b - v)g \otimes G + vg \otimes 1 + x \otimes GX
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_{(2)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \triangleright (GX)_{(1)} \\
&= 1 \triangleleft G \otimes x \triangleright (GX) + 1 \triangleleft (GX) \otimes x \triangleright 1 + x \triangleleft G \otimes g \triangleright (GX) + \\
&\quad x \triangleleft (GX) \otimes g \triangleright 1 \\
&= 1 \otimes (v - vG) + (-x) \otimes (-GX) + (-b + bg) \otimes 1 \\
&= (v - b)1 \otimes 1 - v1 \otimes G + bg \otimes 1 + x \otimes GX.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$x_{(1)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \triangleright (GX)_{(2)} = x_{(2)} \triangleleft (GX)_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \triangleright (GX)_{(1)}$$

we must have $v = b$.

Finally, if $(h, a) = (gx, X)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (gx)_{(1)} \triangleleft X_{(1)} \otimes (gx)_{(2)} \triangleright X_{(2)} \\
&= (gx) \triangleleft X \otimes g \triangleright 1 + (gx) \triangleleft G \otimes g \triangleright X + 1 \triangleleft X \otimes (gx) \triangleright 1 + \\
&\quad 1 \triangleleft G \otimes (gx) \triangleright X \\
&= (d - dg) \otimes 1 + (-gx) \otimes (-X) + 1 \otimes (t - tG) \\
&= (d + t)1 \otimes 1 - dg \otimes 1 - t1 \otimes G + gx \otimes X
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (gx)_{(2)} \triangleleft X_{(2)} \otimes (gx)_{(1)} \triangleright X_{(1)} \\
&= g \triangleleft 1 \otimes (gx) \triangleright X + g \triangleleft X \otimes (gx) \triangleright G + (gx) \triangleleft 1 \otimes 1 \triangleright X + \\
&\quad (gx) \triangleleft X \otimes 1 \triangleright G \\
&= g \otimes (t - tG) + gx \otimes X + (d - dg) \otimes G \\
&= tg \otimes 1 - (t + d)g \otimes G + d1 \otimes G + gx \otimes X
\end{aligned}$$

Since $t = b$ and $d = -v = -b$, we have

$$(gx)_{(1)} \triangleleft X_{(1)} \otimes (gx)_{(2)} \triangleright X_{(2)} = (gx)_{(2)} \triangleleft X_{(2)} \otimes (gx)_{(1)} \triangleright X_{(1)}$$

Thus, condition (4) is satisfied when $a = c = s = u = 0$, $t = v = b$ and $d = -b$. It is now easy to see that, in these circumstances, conditions (2) and (3) are compatible with the relations $G^2 = g^2 = 1$, $X^2 = x^2 = 0$, $gx = -xg$ and $GX = -XG$, i.e. that $h \triangleright (G^2) = h \triangleright 1$, $h \triangleright (X^2) = h \triangleright 0$, $h \triangleright (GX) = h \triangleright (-XG)$, for all $h \in H_4$, and $(g^2) \triangleleft a = 1 \triangleleft a$, $(x^2) \triangleleft a = 0 \triangleleft a$, $(gx) \triangleleft a = (-xg) \triangleleft a$, for all $a \in \mathbb{H}_4$. We will do the verifications for $h = gx$ and $a = GX$ and leave the rest to the reader. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (gx) \triangleright (G^2) &= ((gx) \triangleright G) ((g \triangleleft G) \triangleright G) + (1 \triangleright G) (((gx) \triangleleft G) \triangleright G) \\ &= G(-(gx) \triangleright G) \\ &= 0 = (gx) \triangleright 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (gx) \triangleright (X^2) &= ((gx) \triangleright X) ((g \triangleleft 1) \triangleright X) + (1 \triangleright X) (((gx) \triangleleft 1) \triangleright X) + \\ &\quad ((gx) \triangleright G) ((g \triangleleft X) \triangleright X) + (1 \triangleright G) (((gx) \triangleleft X) \triangleright X) \\ &= (b - bG)(g \triangleright X) + X((gx) \triangleright X) + G((-b + bg) \triangleright X) \\ &= (b - bG)(-X) + X(b - bG) + G(-bX - bX) \\ &= 0 = (gx) \triangleright 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (gx) \triangleright (XG) &= ((gx) \triangleright X) ((g \triangleleft 1) \triangleright G) + (1 \triangleright X) (((gx) \triangleleft 1) \triangleright G) + \\ &\quad ((gx) \triangleright G) ((g \triangleleft X) \triangleright G) + (1 \triangleright G) (((gx) \triangleleft X) \triangleright G) \\ &= (b - bG)(g \triangleright G) + X((gx) \triangleright G) + G((-b + bg) \triangleright G) \\ &= (b - bG)G + G(-bG + bG) \\ &= -b + bG \\ &= (gx) \triangleright (-GX) \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} (g^2) \triangleleft (GX) &= (g \triangleleft (g \triangleright (GX))) (g \triangleleft G) + (g \triangleleft (g \triangleright 1)) (g \triangleleft (GX)) \\ &= (g \triangleleft (-GX))g \\ &= 0 = 1 \triangleleft (GX) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2) \triangleleft (GX) &= (x \triangleleft (x \triangleright (GX))) (1 \triangleleft G) + (x \triangleleft (x \triangleright 1)) (1 \triangleleft (GX)) + \\ &\quad (x \triangleleft (g \triangleright (GX))) (x \triangleleft G) + (x \triangleleft (g \triangleright 1)) (x \triangleleft (GX)) \\ &= x \triangleleft (b - bG) + (x \triangleleft (-GX))(-x) + (x \triangleleft 1)(-b + bg) \\ &= bx + bx + (b - bg)(-x) + x(-b + bg) \\ &= 0 = 0 \triangleleft (GX) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(xg) \triangleleft (GX) &= (x \triangleleft (g \triangleright (GX))) (g \triangleleft G) + (x \triangleleft (g \triangleright 1)) (g \triangleleft (GX)) \\
&= (x \triangleleft (-GX)) g \\
&= (b - bg)g \\
&= -b + bg \\
&= (-gx) \triangleleft (GX)
\end{aligned}$$

□

We are able to describe and classify all Hopf algebras that factorize through two Sweedler's Hopf algebras.

Theorem 2.7. *Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then:*

(1) *A Hopf algebra E factorizes through $\mathbb{H}_4$ and H_4 if and only if $E \cong \mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4$ or $E \cong \mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$, for some $\lambda \in k$, where $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ is the Hopf algebra generated by g, x, G, X subject to the relations:*

$$g^2 = G^2 = 1 \quad x^2 = X^2 = 0, \quad gx = -xg, \quad GX = -XG,$$

$$gG = Gg, \quad gX = -Xg, \quad xG = -Gx, \quad xX + Xx = \lambda(1 - Gg)$$

with the coalgebra structure given by

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x, \quad \Delta(G) = G \otimes G, \quad \Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + G \otimes X,$$

$$\varepsilon(g) = \varepsilon(G) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(X) = 0$$

(2) $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ *is a pointed, unimodular and non-semisimple 16-dimensional Hopf algebra.*

(3) *Up to an isomorphism of Hopf algebras, there are only three Hopf algebras that factorize through $\mathbb{H}_4$ and H_4 , namely*

$$\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4, \quad \mathcal{H}_{16,0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{16,1} \cong D(H_4)$$

where $D(H_4)$ is the Drinfel'd double of H_4 .

Proof. (1) The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ is the explicit description of the bicrossed product $\mathbb{H}_4 \bowtie H_4$ associated to the non-trivial matched pair given in Theorem 2.4. In $\mathbb{H}_4 \bowtie H_4$ we make the canonical identifications: $G = G \bowtie 1$, $X = X \bowtie 1$, $g = 1 \bowtie g$, $x = 1 \bowtie x$. The defining relations of $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ follow easily. For instance:

$$\begin{aligned}
xX &= (1 \bowtie x)(X \bowtie 1) = x_{(1)} \triangleright X_{(1)} \bowtie x_{(2)} \triangleleft X_{(1)} \\
&= (\lambda - \lambda G) \bowtie 1 - X \bowtie x + G \bowtie (\lambda - \lambda g) \\
&= \lambda 1 \bowtie 1 - X \bowtie x - \lambda G \bowtie g = \lambda 1 - Xx - \lambda Gg
\end{aligned}$$

(2) $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ is pointed because, as a coalgebra, it is the tensor product of two pointed coalgebras [10, Lemma 5.1.10]. Since H_4 is non-semisimple, so is $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ [10, Corollary

3.2.3]. $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ is unimodular since $(X + GX)(x - gx)$ is simultaneously a non-zero left and right integral. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} x(X + GX)(x - gx) &= (-Xx + \lambda(1 - Gg) + GXx - \lambda(G - g))(x - gx) \\ &= \lambda(x - gx - Ggx + Gx - Gx + Ggx + gx - x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (X + GX)(x - gx)X &= (X + GX)(-Xx + \lambda(1 - Gg) - Xgx - \lambda(g - G)) \\ &= \lambda(X + GX + GXg + Xg - Xg - GXg - GX - X) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(3) $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda} \cong \mathcal{H}_{16,1}$, for $\lambda \in k^*$, since the defining relations for $\mathcal{H}_{16,1}$ can be obtained from that of $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ by replacing X with $\lambda^{-1}X$.

We prove next that $\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4$, $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{16,1}$ are non-isomorphic Hopf algebras. It will be useful to regard $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ as the bicrossed product $\mathbb{H}_4 \bowtie_\lambda H_4$ associated to the non-trivial matched pair $(\triangleright_\lambda, \triangleleft_\lambda)$ given in Theorem 2.4, for then we can use our description of morphisms between two bicrossed products.

From [1, Theorem 2.2] we know that if $\psi : \mathbb{H}_4 \bowtie H_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4 \bowtie' H_4$ is such a morphism then

$$\psi(a \bowtie h) = u(a_{(1)}) (p(a_{(2)}) \triangleright' r(h_{(1)})) \bowtie' (p(a_{(3)}) \triangleleft' r(h_{(2)})) v(h_{(3)})$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{H}_4$ and $h \in H_4$, where $u : \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$, $p : \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow H_4$, $r : H_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$, and $v : H_4 \rightarrow H_4$ are unitary coalgebra maps satisfying conditions (7)-(14). From Lemma 2.3 we know the description of unitary coalgebra maps between two Sweedler's Hopf algebras. We will show that if one of the pairs (u, p) , (r, v) and (u, r) consists of maps both trivial or both non-trivial then ψ is not an isomorphism.

In order to do this, we first describe the pairs (u, p) of unitary coalgebra maps, $u : \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$ and $p : \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow H_4$, that satisfy (7), (9) and (10). What we will find is that if u and p are both non-trivial, then $u(G) = G$, $u(X) = u(GX) = 0$, $p(G) = g$ and $p(X) = p(GX) = 0$, and, if one of them is non-trivial and the other trivial, then the former is a Hopf algebra morphism.

Suppose u and p are both non-trivial, and let $a_u, a_p, b_u, b_p, c_u, c_p, d_u, d_p \in k$ such that $u(X) = a_u - a_u G + b_u X$, $u(GX) = c_u - c_u G + d_u GX$, $p(X) = a_p - a_p g + b_p x$, and $p(GX) = c_p - c_p g + d_p gx$. Using condition (7), we have

$$u(X) \otimes p(1) + u(G) \otimes p(X) = u(1) \otimes p(X) + u(X) \otimes p(G)$$

from which we deduce that $b_p = b_u = 0$ and $a_p = a_u$. Again, from condition (7), we have

$$u(GX) \otimes p(G) + u(1) \otimes p(GX) = u(G) \otimes p(GX) + u(GX) \otimes p(1)$$

from which we obtain $d_p = d_u = 0$ and $c_p = c_u$. From condition (9) we have:

$$u(GX) = u(G) (p(G) \triangleright' u(X)) = G (g \triangleright' (a_u - a_u G)) = G(a_u - a_u G) = -a_u + a_u G$$

Since $u(GX) = c_u - c_u G$, we must have $c_u = -a_u$. Also $u(GX) = -u(XG)$, since $GX = -XG$. But

$$\begin{aligned} u(XG) &= u(X) (p(1) \triangleright' u(G)) + u(G) (p(X) \triangleright' u(G)) \\ &= (a_u - a_u G)G + G((a_u - a_u G) \triangleright' G) \\ &= -a_u + a_u G + G(a_u G - a_u G) \\ &= -a_u + a_u G \\ &= u(GX) \end{aligned}$$

so $a_u = 0$. Therefore, $u(X) = u(GX) = p(X) = p(GX) = 0$ and this concludes our first claim.

If u is trivial and p is non-trivial then the conditions (7) and (9) are trivially fulfilled and condition (10) becomes $p(ab) = p(a)p(b)$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{H}_4$. Thus, p is a Hopf algebra morphism in this case. Similarly, u is a Hopf algebra morphism if u is non-trivial and p is trivial.

Observe that the above arguments also apply for pairs (r, v) of unitary coalgebra maps, $r : H_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$ and $v : H_4 \rightarrow H_4$, that satisfy conditions (8), (11) and (12).

We are now able to prove our assertion that ψ is not an isomorphism if one of the pairs (u, p) , (r, v) , and (u, r) consists of maps that are both trivial or both nontrivial. We have

$$\psi(X \bowtie 1) = u(X) \bowtie' 1 + u(G) \bowtie' p(X)$$

and

$$\psi(1 \bowtie x) = r(x) \bowtie' 1 + r(g) \bowtie' v(x)$$

hence, $\psi(X \bowtie 1) = 0$ if u and p are both trivial or both non-trivial, and $\psi(1 \bowtie x) = 0$ if r and v are both trivial or both non-trivial. Since $X \bowtie 1$ and $1 \bowtie x$ are non-zero elements, ψ is not injective in these cases. Suppose next that u and r are both trivial. If p or v is trivial then ψ is not an isomorphism as we have already seen. If p and v are both non-trivial, then, considering the previous remarks, they are Hopf algebra morphisms. Using Lemma 2.3 we deduce that $p(X) = ax$ and $v(x) = bx$, for some a, b in k . Since

$$\psi(X \bowtie x) = 1 \bowtie' p(X)v(x) = ab1 \bowtie' x^2 = 0$$

and $X \bowtie x \neq 0$, it follows that ψ is not injective, hence not an isomorphism. Finally, suppose that u and r are both non-trivial. Then ψ is not an isomorphism if p or v is non-trivial, so consider p and v trivial. Then u and r are Hopf algebra morphisms, hence there exist $a, b \in k$ such that $u(X) = aX$ and $r(x) = bX$. Since

$$\psi(X \bowtie x) = u(X)r(x) \bowtie' 1 = abX^2 \bowtie' 1 = 0$$

we deduce that ψ is not injective in this case either.

We have reduced so far the number of possibilities for (u, p, r, v) when ψ is an isomorphism. More precisely, if ψ is an isomorphism then u and v are both trivial and p and r are both non-trivial, or u and v are both non-trivial and p and r are both trivial.

In what follows we will consider the Hopf algebras $\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4$, $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{16,1}$ and the morphisms between them associated to the two remaining possibilities for (u, p, r, v) .

Let $\psi : \mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ be a morphism associated to (u, p, r, v) . Suppose u and v are trivial and p and r are non-trivial. Thus, p and r are Hopf algebra morphisms. Since the actions associated to $\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4$ are the trivial ones, condition (13) takes the following form:

$$\varepsilon(b)r(h) = p(b) \triangleright_0 r(h)$$

Consider $a \in k$ such that $r(x) = aX$. Then

$$aX = \varepsilon(G)r(x) = p(G) \triangleright_0 r(x) = ag \triangleright_0 X = -aX$$

hence $a = 0$. From

$$\psi(1 \otimes x) = r(x) \bowtie_0 1 = 0$$

it follows that ψ is not an isomorphism. Suppose now that u and v are nontrivial and p and r are trivial. Then u and v are Hopf algebra morphisms and condition (13) takes the form:

$$v(h) \triangleright_0 u(b) = \varepsilon(h)u(b)$$

Let $a \in k$ such that $u(X) = aX$. From

$$aX = \varepsilon(g)u(X) = v(g) \triangleright_0 u(X) = ag \triangleright_0 X = -aX$$

we deduce that $a = 0$ and, consequently, that $u(X) = 0$. Since

$$\psi(X \otimes 1) = u(X) \bowtie_0 1 = 0$$

it follows that ψ cannot be an isomorphism. Thus $\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4 \not\cong \mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ as all morphisms from $\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4$ to $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ are not injective.

Observe that, if we replace in the previous paragraph $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ with $\mathcal{H}_{16,1}$ and $\triangleright_0$ with $\triangleright_1$, then everything else remains the same, since $g \triangleright_1 X = -X$. We deduce, therefore, that $\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4 \not\cong \mathcal{H}_{16,1}$ also.

Consider now $\psi : \mathcal{H}_{16,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{16,1}$ a morphism associated to (u, p, r, v) . If u and v are trivial and p and r are non-trivial, then p and r are Hopf algebra morphisms and condition (13) becomes

$$\varepsilon(b)r(h) = p(h_{(1)} \triangleright_0 b_{(1)}) \triangleright_1 r(h_{(2)} \triangleleft_0 b_{(2)})$$

Let $a, b \in k$ such that $p(X) = ax$ and $r(x) = bX$. Since

$$0 = \varepsilon(X)r(x) = p(x_{(1)} \triangleright_0 X_{(1)}) \triangleright_1 r(x_{(2)} \triangleleft_0 X_{(2)}) = -p(X) \triangleright_1 r(x) = -ab + abG$$

we deduce that $ab = 0$, hence $p(X) = 0$ or $r(x) = 0$. But then $\psi(X \bowtie_0 1) = 1 \bowtie_1 p(X) = 0$ or $\psi(1 \bowtie_0 x) = r(x) \bowtie_1 1 = 0$, which shows that ψ is not injective. If u and v are non-trivial and p and r are trivial, then u and v are Hopf algebra morphisms and condition (13) has the following form:

$$v(h) \triangleright_1 u(b) = u(h \triangleright_0 b)$$

Let $a, b \in k$ such that $u(X) = aX$ and $v(x) = bx$. From

$$0 = u(x \triangleright_0 X) = v(x) \triangleright_1 u(X) = abx \triangleright_1 X = ab - abG$$

we deduce that $ab = 0$. Thus $u(x) = 0$ or $v(x) = 0$. Since $\psi(X \bowtie_0 1) = u(X) \bowtie_1 0$ and $\psi(1 \bowtie_0 x) = 1 \bowtie_1 v(x)$ it follows that ψ is not injective. We conclude that $\mathcal{H}_{16,0} \not\cong \mathcal{H}_{16,1}$, as all morphisms from $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{16,1}$ are not injective.

Finally, we shall prove that $\mathcal{H}_{16,1} \cong D(H_4)$ and this will finish the proof. Observe first that $(H_4^*)^{\text{cop}} \simeq \mathbb{H}_4$, so $D(H_4)$ factorizes through $\mathbb{H}_4$ and H_4 . Indeed, if $\{1^*, g^*, x^*, (gx)^*\}$ is the dual basis of $\{1, g, x, gx\}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (1^* - g^*) * (1^* - g^*) &= 1^* + g^* = 1_{H_4^*} \\ (x^* + (gx)^*) * (x^* + (gx)^*) &= 0 \\ (1^* - g^*) * (x^* + (gx)^*) &= -x^* + (gx)^* = -(x^* + (gx)^*) * (1^* - g^*) \end{aligned}$$

where $*$ is the convolution product in H_4^* , hence, as an algebra, $H_4^* = k\langle G, X | G^2 = 1, X^2 = 0, GX = -XG \rangle$, where $G = 1^* - g^*$, and $X = x^* + (gx)^*$. Recall now that, if H is a Hopf algebra with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ is the dual basis, then

$$\Delta_{H^*}(e_i) = \sum_{j,l=1}^n e_i^*(e_j e_l) e_j^* \otimes e_l^*$$

Using this fact we obtain that

$$\Delta_{H_4^*}(G) = G \otimes G \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{H_4^*}(X) = 1 \otimes X + X \otimes G$$

Therefore, $(H_4^*)^{\text{cop}} \cong \mathbb{H}_4$, so $D(H_4)$ factorizes indeed through $\mathbb{H}_4$ and H_4 . In order to see which of the three algebras from Theorem 2.7 $D(H_4)$ is, recall the Drinfel'd double as a matched pair. If H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, then $((H^*)^{\text{cop}}, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair, where

$$\begin{aligned} \triangleright : H \otimes (H^*)^{\text{cop}} &\rightarrow (H^*)^{\text{cop}}, \quad h \triangleright h^* = h^* (S^{-1}(h_{(2)})?h_{(1)}) \\ \triangleleft : H \otimes (H^*)^{\text{cop}} &\rightarrow H, \quad h \triangleleft h^* = h^* (S^{-1}(h_{(3)})h_{(1)}) h_{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$, $h^* \in (H^*)^{\text{cop}}$, and $D(H) \simeq (H^*)^{\text{cop}} \bowtie H$. In our case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleleft X &= X (S^{-1}(1)x) + X (S^{-1}(1)g) x + X (S^{-1}(x)g) g \\ &= X(x) + X(g)x + X(-x)g \\ &= 1 - g \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $D(H_4) \simeq \mathbb{H}_4 \bowtie_1 H_4$. □

Remark 2.8. $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ is not the dual of $D(H_4)$ for otherwise $D(H_4)^*$ would be unimodular and so would H_4 ([11], Corollary 4).

Remark 2.9. The classification of pointed Hopf algebras of dimension 16 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero was done in [4]. Since the coradical of $\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}$ is $k[G(\mathbb{H}_4)] \otimes k[G(H_4)]$, we have $G(\mathcal{H}_{16,\lambda}) = \{1, g, G, gG\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. With the notations of [4, Theorem 5.2], we have $\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4 \simeq H_{(3)}$, $\mathcal{H}_{16,0} \simeq H_{(4)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{16,1} \simeq H_{(5)}$.

As a consequence of our approach, we can describe the group of Hopf algebra automorphisms of the Hopf algebras obtained in Theorem 2.7. We begin with the Drinfel'd double, $D(H_4)$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then there exists an isomorphism of groups*

$$\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(D(H_4)) \cong k^* \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}_2$$

where $k^* \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the semidirect product of the group k^* of the units of k with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ associated to the action as automorphisms $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(k^*)$, $f(1 + 2\mathbb{Z})(\alpha) = \alpha^{-1}$, for all $\alpha \in k^*$.

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathcal{H}_{16,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{16,1}$ be an endomorphism of the Drinfel'd double, $D(H_4) = \mathcal{H}_{16,1}$, associated to (u, p, r, v) , where $u : \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$, $p : \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow H_4$, $r : H_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$, and $v : H_4 \rightarrow H_4$ are unitary coalgebra maps satisfying conditions (7)-(14). We know, from the investigation in the proof of Theorem 2.7 that if ψ is an isomorphism then u and v are both trivial and p and r are both non-trivial, or u and v are both non-trivial and p and r are both trivial.

We continue with the investigation further in order to describe all the automorphisms of $D(H_4)$. Suppose first that u and v are trivial and p and r are non-trivial. Then conditions (10) and (11) imply that p and r are Hopf algebra morphisms, conditions (13) and (14) become

$$\varepsilon(b)r(h) = p(h_{(1)} \triangleright_1 b_{(1)}) \triangleright_1 r(h_{(2)} \triangleleft_1 b_{(2)})$$

$$\varepsilon(h)p(b) = p(h_{(1)} \triangleright_1 b_{(1)}) \triangleleft_1 r(h_{(2)} \triangleleft_1 b_{(2)})$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{H}_4$ and $h \in H_4$, and the rest of the conditions are trivially fulfilled. Since p and r are Hopf algebra morphisms, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in k$ such that $p(X) = \alpha x$, $p(GX) = \alpha gx$, $r(x) = \beta X$ and $r(gx) = \beta GX$. By a routine check one can verify that conditions (13) and (14) are satisfied if and only if $\alpha \cdot \beta = 1$. For example, (13) is true for $b = X$ and $h = x$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(X)r(x) &= -p(X) \triangleright_1 r(x) + p(G) \triangleright_1 r(1 - g) \\ &= 1 - \alpha\beta + (\alpha\beta - 1)G \end{aligned}$$

if and only if $\alpha\beta = 1$, since $\varepsilon(X)r(x) = 0$. Thus, p and r are actually Hopf algebra isomorphisms inverse to one another. It is also a routine check to verify that $\psi^2 = \text{Id}_{D(H_4)}$, for example by looking at the elements of a basis for $D(H_4)$. Therefore, ψ is an isomorphism. We will denote the isomorphism obtained in this way and associated to $\alpha \in k^*$ by ψ_α .

Suppose now that u and v are non-trivial and p and r are trivial. Then conditions (9) and (12) imply that u and v are Hopf algebras morphisms, conditions (13) and (14) become

$$v(h) \triangleright_1 u(b) = u(h \triangleright_1 b)$$

$$v(h) \triangleleft_1 u(b) = v(h \triangleleft_1 b)$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{H}_4$ and $h \in H_4$, while the rest of the conditions are trivially fulfilled. By Lemma 2.3, $u(X) = \alpha X$ and $v(x) = \beta x$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in k$. A straightforward verification shows that (13) and (14) are satisfied if and only if $\alpha \cdot \beta = 1$. For example, condition (13) for $b = X$ and $h = x$ is equivalent to

$$\alpha\beta(1 - G) = 1 - G$$

which is true if and only if $\alpha\beta = 1$. If we denote by φ_α the morphism ψ associated to $\alpha \in k^*$ then we may remark that $\varphi_\alpha\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}} = \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}\varphi_\alpha = \text{Id}_{D(H_4)}$, hence φ_α is an isomorphism.

Thus, the set of Hopf automorphisms of $D(H_4)$ is $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(D(H_4)) = \{\psi_\alpha | \alpha \in k^*\} \cup \{\varphi_\alpha | \alpha \in k^*\}$, a disjoint union of two sets indexed by k^* . The elements of $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(D(H_4))$ multiply, as it can easily be seen, according to the following rules

$$\psi_\alpha\psi_\beta = \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta}, \quad \varphi_\alpha\varphi_\beta = \varphi_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \psi_\alpha\varphi_\beta = \psi_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \varphi_\alpha\psi_\beta = \psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in k^*$. In order to see that $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(D(H_4))$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product of k^* and $\mathbb{Z}_2$, $k^* \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}_2$, associated to $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(k^*)$, $f(1 + 2\mathbb{Z})(\alpha) = \alpha^{-1}$, for all $\alpha \in k^*$, we define

$$\Gamma : k^* \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(D(H_4)), \quad \Gamma(\alpha, \hat{0}) = \varphi_\alpha, \quad \Gamma(\alpha, \hat{1}) = \psi_{\alpha^{-1}}$$

for all $\alpha \in k^*$. Obviously, Γ is bijective and, moreover, it is a morphism of groups. Indeed, recalling that $k^* \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}_2$ is $k^* \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ with the multiplication $(\alpha, \hat{m}) \cdot (\beta, \hat{n}) = (\alpha f(\hat{m})(\beta), \hat{m} + \hat{n})$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in k^*$ and $\hat{m}, \hat{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have

$$\Gamma((\alpha, \hat{0}) \cdot (\beta, \hat{0})) = \Gamma(\alpha\beta, \hat{0}) = \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\alpha\varphi_\beta = \Gamma(\alpha, \hat{0})\Gamma(\beta, \hat{0})$$

$$\Gamma((\alpha, \hat{0}) \cdot (\beta, \hat{1})) = \Gamma(\alpha\beta, \hat{1}) = \psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}} = \varphi_\alpha\psi_{\beta^{-1}} = \Gamma(\alpha, \hat{0})\Gamma(\beta, \hat{1})$$

$$\Gamma((\alpha, \hat{1}) \cdot (\beta, \hat{0})) = \Gamma(\alpha\beta^{-1}, \hat{1}) = \psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta} = \psi_{\alpha^{-1}}\varphi_\beta = \Gamma(\alpha, \hat{1})\Gamma(\beta, \hat{0})$$

$$\Gamma((\alpha, \hat{1}) \cdot (\beta, \hat{1})) = \Gamma(\alpha\beta^{-1}, \hat{0}) = \varphi_{\alpha\beta^{-1}} = \psi_{\alpha^{-1}}\psi_{\beta^{-1}} = \Gamma(\alpha, \hat{1})\Gamma(\beta, \hat{1})$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in k^*$. Therefore, $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(D(H_4)) \cong k^* \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}_2$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 2.11. *Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then there exist isomorphism of groups*

$$\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(\mathcal{H}_{16,0}) \cong (k^* \times k^*) \rtimes_g \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4)$$

where $(k^* \times k^*) \rtimes_g \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the semidirect product of the group $k^* \times k^*$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ associated to the action as automorphisms $g : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(k^* \times k^*)$, $g(1 + 2\mathbb{Z})(\alpha, \beta) = (\beta, \alpha)$, for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in k^* \times k^*$.

Proof. The proof of this result is similar to the one of Proposition 2.10. We start with $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$. Let $\psi : \mathcal{H}_{16,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ be an endomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$, associated to (u, p, r, v) , where $u : \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$, $p : \mathbb{H}_4 \rightarrow H_4$, $r : H_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_4$, and $v : H_4 \rightarrow H_4$ are unitary coalgebra maps satisfying conditions (7)-(14). We look for necessary and sufficient conditions for ψ to be an isomorphism. One necessary condition, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.7, is that u and v be both trivial and p and r be both non-trivial, or u and v be both non-trivial and p and r be both trivial.

If u and v are trivial and p and r are non-trivial then conditions (10) and (11) imply that p and r are Hopf algebra morphisms, conditions (13) and (14) become

$$\varepsilon(b)r(h) = p(h_{(1)} \triangleright_0 b_{(1)}) \triangleright_0 r(h_{(2)} \triangleleft_0 b_{(2)})$$

$$\varepsilon(h)p(b) = p(h_{(1)} \triangleright_0 b_{(1)}) \triangleleft_0 r(h_{(2)} \triangleleft_0 b_{(2)})$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{H}_4$ and $h \in H_4$, and the rest of the conditions are trivially fulfilled. Since p and r are Hopf algebra morphisms there exist $\alpha, \beta \in k$ such that $p(X) = \alpha x$, $p(GX) = \alpha gx$, $r(x) = \beta X$ and $r(gx) = \beta GX$. A routine check shows that conditions (13) and (14)

are satisfied without further restrictions on α and β . By looking at the matrix of ψ with respect to the basis $\{ef \mid e = 1, G, X, GX, f = 1, g, x, gx\}$, we find that ψ is an isomorphism if and only if $\alpha \cdot \beta \neq 0$. We denote by $\psi_{\alpha, \beta}$ the isomorphism obtained in this way and associated to $(\alpha, \beta) \in k^* \times k^*$.

If u and v are non-trivial and p and r are trivial then conditions (9) and (12) imply that u and v are Hopf algebras morphisms, conditions (13) and (14) become

$$v(h) \triangleright_0 u(b) = u(h \triangleright_0 b)$$

$$v(h) \triangleleft_0 u(b) = v(h \triangleleft_0 b)$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{H}_4$ and $h \in H_4$, while the rest of the conditions are trivially fulfilled. By Lemma 2.3, $u(X) = \alpha X$ and $v(x) = \beta x$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in k$. Again, (13) and (14) are satisfied without further restrictions on α and β , and ψ is an isomorphism if and only if $\alpha \cdot \beta \neq 0$. We denote by $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}$ the isomorphism associated to $(\alpha, \beta) \in k^* \times k^*$ in this way.

Thus, the set of Hopf automorphisms of $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ is $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(\mathcal{H}_{16,0}) = \{\psi_{\alpha, \beta} \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in k^* \times k^*\} \cup \{\varphi_{\alpha, \beta} \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in k^* \times k^*\}$, where the two sets are disjoint. The elements of $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(\mathcal{H}_{16,0})$ multiply, as it can easily be seen, according to the following rules

$$\psi_{\alpha, \beta} \psi_{\gamma, \delta} = \varphi_{\beta\gamma, \alpha\delta}, \quad \varphi_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi_{\gamma, \delta} = \varphi_{\alpha\gamma, \beta\delta}, \quad \psi_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi_{\gamma, \delta} = \psi_{\alpha\gamma, \beta\delta}, \quad \varphi_{\alpha, \beta} \psi_{\gamma, \delta} = \psi_{\beta\gamma, \alpha\delta}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in k^*$. An isomorphism with the semidirect product of $k^* \times k^*$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ associated to $g : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(k^* \times k^*)$, $f(1 + 2\mathbb{Z})(\alpha, \beta) = (\beta, \alpha)$, for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in k^* \times k^*$, can be noticed, since, in $(k^* \times k^*) \rtimes_g \mathbb{Z}_2$, elements multiply in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} ((\beta, \alpha), \hat{1}) \cdot ((\delta, \gamma), \hat{1}) &= ((\beta\gamma, \alpha\delta), \hat{0}) & ((\alpha, \beta), \hat{0}) \cdot ((\gamma, \delta), \hat{0}) &= ((\alpha\gamma, \beta\delta), \hat{0}) \\ ((\beta, \alpha), \hat{1}) \cdot ((\gamma, \delta), \hat{0}) &= ((\beta\delta, \alpha\gamma), \hat{1}) & ((\alpha, \beta), \hat{0}) \cdot ((\delta, \gamma), \hat{1}) &= ((\alpha\delta, \beta\gamma), \hat{1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in k^*$. Thus, $\Gamma : (k^* \times k^*) \rtimes_g \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(\mathcal{H}_{16,0})$, $\Gamma((\beta, \alpha), \hat{1}) = \psi_{\alpha, \beta}$, $\Gamma((\alpha, \beta), \hat{0}) = \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in k^*$, is an isomorphism of groups.

The proof that $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(\mathbb{H}_4 \otimes H_4) \cong (k^* \times k^*) \rtimes_g \mathbb{Z}_2$ is just the same as for $\mathcal{H}_{16,0}$ so we omit it. $\square$

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank Professor Gigel Militaru for suggesting the problem and for the help given in improving this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agore, A.L., Bontea, C.G., Militaru G. - Classifying bicrossed products of Hopf algebras, arXiv:1205.6110.
- [2] Agore, A.L., Militaru, G. - Schreier type theorems for bicrossed product, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, **10**(2012), 722-739.
- [3] Andruskiewitsch, N. and Devoto, J. - Extensions of Hopf algebras, *Algebra i Analiz* **7** (1995), 22-61.
- [4] Caenepeel, S., Dascalescu, S., Raianu, S. - Classifying pointed Hopf algebras of dimension 16, *Communications in Algebra*, **28**(2), 541-568, 2000.
- [5] Garcia, G. A. and Vay, C. - Hopf algebras of dimension 16, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **13** (2010), 383-405.

- [6] Kassel, C. - Quantum groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 155. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [7] Larson, R.G., Radford, D.E. - Semisimple Hopf Algebras, *J. Algebra* 171 (1995), no. 1, 5-35.
- [8] Majid, S. - Physics for algebraists: non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras by a bicrossproduct construction, *J. Algebra*, **130** (1990), 17-64.
- [9] Majid, S. - Foundations of quantum groups theory, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [10] Montgomery, S. - Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings, CBMS Reg. Conf. Series 82, Providence, R.I., 1993.
- [11] Radford, D.E., - Minimal Quaitriangular Hopf Algebras, *J. Algebra* 157 (1993), 285-315.
- [12] Takeuchi, M. - Matched pairs of groups and bismash products of Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **9**(1981), 841-882.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, STR. ACADEMIEI
14, RO-010014 BUCHAREST 1, ROMANIA

AND

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, PLEINLAAN 2, B-1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM
E-mail address: costel.bontea@gmail.com